

United States Patent and Trademark Office

600 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/070,604	08/02/2002	Alois Ambrugger	32860-000302/US	9312	
30596	7590 12/11/2003		EXAMINER		
HARNESS, P.O.BOX 891	DICKEY & PIERCE,	WILLIAMS, JOSEPH L			
RESTON, V.	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2879		

DATE MAILED: 12/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary					AMBRUGGER ET AL.				
			10/070,604 Examiner						
	,			/:II:	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this commun		Joseph L. W		2879 orrespondence ad	dress			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 August 2002</u> .								
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This ac	ction is nor	ı-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. i) Claim(s) is/are allowed. i) Claim(s) 1 and 3-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 									
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F		5	Interview Summary Notice of Informal Pa Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(atent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 10/070,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: Regarding claim 9, there should be space between the word "claim and "6". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 6-11 and 17-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the protective layer" in line 6 of the claim. There is no antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,604 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

7. Due to their dependence, claims 7-11 and 17-22 are necessarily included in this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 12, 13, 14, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishii et al. (US 6,520,821).

Regarding claim 1, Ishii ('821) discloses in figure 5 and in column 1, line 38-55 a component, comprising a glass substrate (11), an organic light-emitting diode (13)

arranged on said glass substrate, and a glass cover (15), arranged over the organic light-emitting diode and glued at an edge to the glass substrate.

The Examiner notes that the limitation of the cover being produced from a glass plate by three-dimensional removal of material using a blasting method using commercial crystal corundum having an average particle size of 30 gm and a blasting pressure of 5 bar, is drawn to a process of manufacturing, which is incidental to the claimed apparatus. It is well established that a claimed apparatus cannot be distinguished over the prior art by a process limitation. Consequently, absent a showing of an unobvious difference between the claimed product and the prior art, the subject product-by-process claim limitation is not afforded patentable weight (see MPEP 2113).

Regarding claims 3 and 12, Ishii ('821) discloses that the glass cover is bonded to the glass substrate using an organic adhesive.

Regarding claim 4 and 13, Ishii ('821) discloses that the adhesive is UV curable.

Regarding claim 5, 14, 15, and 16, Ishii ('821) discloses that the adhesive is an epoxy resin.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/070,604 Page 5

Art Unit: 2879

10. Claim 6 (and therefore claim 7-11 and 17-22 would be allowable if rewritten or

amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set

forth in this Office action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joseph L. Williams whose telephone number is (703)

305-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:30 AM-3:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Nimeshkumar D. Patel can be reached on (703) 305-4794. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

Joseph Williams

Examiner

Art Unit 2879